

REPORT OF THE AUDITOR OF PUBLIC ACCOUNTS AUDIT EXAMINATION OF THE LEE COUNTY SHERIFF'S SETTLEMENT - 1998 TAXES AND SHERIFF'S SETTLEMENT - 1998 UNMINED COAL TAXES

JUNE 9, 1999

EDWARD B. HATCHETT, JR. AUDITOR OF PUBLIC ACCOUNTS WWW.KYAUDITOR.NET

144 CAPITOL ANNEX FRANKFORT, KY 40601 TELE. (502) 564-5841 FAX (502) 564-2912

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

LEE COUNTY JAMES H. PELFREY, SHERIFF SHERIFF'S TAX SETTLEMENT - 1998 TAXES AND UNMINED COAL TAXES

The auditor of Public Accounts has completed the audit of 1998 tax collections of the Lee County Sheriff's office. We have issued an unqualified opinion on the financial statements.

Report Findings:

Based on the results of our audit, the following items were noted and are discussed in our report:

- The Sheriff Should Have Entered Into A Written Agreement To Protect Deposits
- The Sheriff Should Have Published The Sheriff's Annual Settlement With The County

Financial Condition:

The Lee County Sheriff earned \$396 as interest income on 1998 taxes. The Sheriff distributed the appropriate amount to the school district as required by statute, and the remainder was used to operate the Sheriff's office.

CONTENTS	PAGE

INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT	1
SHERIFF'S SETTLEMENT - 1998 TAXES	3
SHERIFF'S SETTLEMENT - 1998 UNMINED COAL TAXES	5
NOTES TO THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS	6
COMMENTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	11
REPORT ON COMPLIANCE AND ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING BASED ON AN AUDIT OF THE FINANCIAL	
STATEMENT PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS	15



Edward B. Hatchett, Jr. Auditor of Public Accounts

To the People of Kentucky
Honorable Paul E. Patton, Governor
Kevin Flanery, Secretary
Finance and Administration Cabinet
Mike Haydon, Secretary, Revenue Cabinet
Honorable L. C. Reese, Lee County Judge/Executive
Honorable James H. Pelfrey, Lee County Sheriff
Members of the Lee County Fiscal Court

Independent Auditor's Report

We have audited the Lee County Sheriff's Tax Settlement - 1998 Taxes and the Sheriff's Settlement - 1998 Unmined Coal Taxes as of June 9, 1999. These tax settlements are the responsibility of the Lee County Sheriff. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the financial statements based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with generally accepted auditing standards, <u>Government Auditing Standards</u> issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, and the <u>Audit Guide for Sheriff's Tax Settlements</u> issued by the Auditor of Public Accounts, Commonwealth of Kentucky. These standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

The Sheriff prepares his financial statements on a prescribed basis of accounting that demonstrates compliance with the cash basis and laws of Kentucky, which is a comprehensive basis of accounting other than generally accepted accounting principles.

In our opinion, the accompanying financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the Lee County Sheriff's taxes charged, credited, and paid as of June 9, 1999, in conformity with the basis of accounting described in the preceding paragraph.

To the People of Kentucky
Honorable Paul E. Patton, Governor
Kevin Flanery, Secretary
Finance and Administration Cabinet
Mike Haydon, Secretary, Revenue Cabinet
Honorable L. C. Reese, Lee County Judge/Executive
Honorable James H. Pelfrey, Lee County Sheriff
Members of the Lee County Fiscal Court

Based on the results of our audit, we have presented the accompanying comments and recommendations, included herein, which discuss the following areas of noncompliance:

- The Sheriff Should Have Entered Into A Written Agreement To Protect Deposits
- The Sheriff Should Have Published The Sheriff's Annual Settlement With The County

In accordance with <u>Government Auditing Standards</u>, we have also issued a report dated September 15, 2000, on our consideration of the Sheriff's compliance and internal control.

Respectfully submitted,

Edward B. Hatchett, Jr. Auditor of Public Accounts

El thacker

Audit fieldwork completed - September 15, 2000

LEE COUNTY JAMES H. PELFREY, SHERIFF SHERIFF'S SETTLEMENT - 1998 TAXES

June 9, 1999

~		4
Sne	C1a	

Specia			Special					
<u>Charges</u>	Cou	nty Taxes	Taxi	ng Districts	Sch	nool Taxes	Sta	te Taxes
Real Estate	\$	35,470	\$	27,157	\$	75,560	\$	28,266
Tangible Personal Property	Ψ	3,664	Ψ	1,904	Ψ	5,946	Ψ	6,534
Intangible Personal Property		3,004		1,504		3,240		509
Fire Protection		475						307
Franchise Corporation		18,907		12,136		35,448		
Undeveloped Oil		2,223		1,702		4,735		1,771
Omitted Taxes		7,588		4,000		143		55
Penalties		2,519		1,845		5,015		2,159
Adjusted to Sheriff's Receipt		2,517		2		430		2,100
regusted to Sherin 5 receipt						130		
Gross Chargeable to Sheriff	\$	70,846	\$	48,746	\$	127,277	\$	39,294
Credits								
Discounts	\$	94	\$	50	\$	2	\$	
Exonerations		392		300		834		312
Delinquents:								
Real Estate		9,516		7,173		19,959		7,466
Tangible Personal Property		178		92		288		360
Undeveloped Oil		692		530		1,473		551
Uncollected Franchise		187		97		304		
Total Credits	\$	11,059	\$	8,242	\$	22,860	\$	8,689
Net Tax Yield	\$	59,787	\$	40,504	\$	104,417	\$	30,605
Less: Commissions *	Ψ	2,541	Ψ	1,721	Ψ	4,177	Ψ	1,301
Less. Commissions		2,511		1,721		1,177		1,501
Net Taxes Due	\$	57,246	\$	38,783	\$	100,240	\$	29,304
Taxes Paid		57,254		38,808		99,851		29,318
Due Districts or (Refunds Due Sheriff)				**				
as of Completion of Fieldwork	\$	(8)	\$	(25)	\$	389	\$	(14)

^{*} and ** See Page 4

LEE COUNTY JAMES H. PELFREY, SHERIFF SHERIFF'S SETTLEMENT - 1998 TAXES June 9, 1999 (Continued)

Due Districts or (Refunds Due Sheriff)

4.25% on \$ 130,896 4% on \$ 104,417

** Special Taxing Districts:

Library District	\$ 73
Health District	(95)
Extension District	(2)
Soil Conservation District	 (1)

(25)

LEE COUNTY JAMES H. PELFREY, SHERIFF SHERIFF'S SETTLEMENT - 1998 UNMINED COAL TAXES

June 9, 1999

	Special							
<u>Charges</u>	County 7	Taxes	Taxir	ng Districts	Scho	ol Taxes	State	Taxes
Sheriff's Official Receipt for Unmined Coal	\$	65	\$	50	\$	138	\$	52
Credits								
Delinquents	\$	65	\$	50	\$	138	\$	52
Net Tax Yield	\$	0	\$	0	\$	0	\$	0
Due Districts as of Completion of Fieldwork	\$	0	\$	0	\$	0	\$	0

LEE COUNTY NOTES TO THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

June 9, 1999

Note 1. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

A. Fund Accounting

The Sheriff's office tax collection duties are limited to acting as an agent for assessed property owners and taxing districts. A fund is used to account for the collection and distribution of taxes. A fund is a separate accounting entity with a self-balancing set of accounts. Fund accounting is designed to demonstrate legal compliance and to aid financial management by segregating transactions related to certain government functions or activities.

B. Basis of Accounting

The financial statements have been prepared on a cash basis of accounting. Basis of accounting refers to when charges, credits, and taxes paid are reported in the settlement statement. It relates to the timing of measurements regardless of the measurement focus.

Charges are sources of revenue which are recognized in the tax period in which they become available and measurable. Credits are reductions of revenue which are recognized when there is proper authorization. Taxes paid are uses of revenue which are recognized when distributions are made to the taxing districts and others.

C. Cash and Investments

At the direction of the fiscal court, KRS 66.480 authorizes the Sheriff's office to invest in the following, including but not limited to, obligations of the United States and of its agencies and instrumentalities, obligations and contracts for future delivery or purchase of obligations backed by the full faith and credit of the United States, obligations of any corporation of the United States government, bonds or certificates of indebtedness of this state, and certificates of deposit issued by or other interest-bearing accounts of any bank or savings and loan institution which are insured by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (FDIC) or which are collateralized, to the extent uninsured, by any obligation permitted by KRS 41.240(4).

Note 2. Deposits

The Sheriff maintained deposits of public funds with depository institutions insured by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (FDIC). According to KRS 66.480(1)(d) and KRS 41.240(4), the depository institution should pledge or provide sufficient collateral which, together with FDIC insurance, equals or exceeds the amount of public funds on deposit at all times. In order to be valid against the FDIC in the event of failure or insolvency of the depository institution, this pledge or provision of collateral should be evidenced by an agreement between the Sheriff and the depository institution, signed by both parties, that is (a) in writing, (b) approved by the board of directors of the depository institution or its loan committee, which approval must be reflected in the minutes of the board or committee, and (c) an official record of the depository institution. The depository institution has pledged or provided sufficient collateral, and the depository institution's board of directors or loan committee approved the pledge or provision. However, the depository institution did not have a written agreement with the Sheriff securing the Sheriff's interest in the collateral.

LEE COUNTY NOTES TO THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS June 9, 1999 (Continued)

Note 3. Property Taxes

The real and personal property tax assessments were levied as of January 1, 1998. Property taxes were billed to finance governmental services for the year ended June 30, 1999. Liens are effective when the tax bills become delinquent. The collection period for these assessments was January 11, 1999 through June 23, 1999.

Unmined Coal Taxes

The tangible property tax assessments were levied as of January 1, 1998. Property taxes are billed to finance governmental services. Liens are effective when the tax bills become delinquent. The collection period for these assessments was January 11, 1999 through June 23, 1999.

Note 4. Interest Income

The Lee County Sheriff earned \$396 as interest income on 1998 taxes. The Sheriff distributed the appropriate amount to the school district as required by statute, and the remainder was used to operate the Sheriff's office.



COMMENTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

LEE COUNTY JAMES H. PELFREY, SHERIFF COMMENTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

June 9, 1999

STATE LAWS AND REGULATIONS:

The Sheriff Should Have Entered Into A Written Agreement To Protect Deposits

The Sheriff maintained deposits with depository institutions insured by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (FDIC). The Sheriff had a bank balance of \$104,616; FDIC insurance of \$100,000; and securities pledged of \$500,000 as of April 30, 1999. Even though the Sheriff obtained pledged securities of 500,000, the pledge was not evidenced by a written agreement. We recommend the Sheriff enter into a written agreement with the depository institution. According to federal law, 12 U.S.C.A. § 1823(e), this agreement should be (a) in writing, (b) approved by the board of directors of the depository institution or its loan committee, which approval must be reflected in the minutes of the board or committee, and (c) an official record of the depository institution.

Sheriff's Response:

This is being taken care of with the Bank right now.

The Sheriff Should Have Published The Sheriff's Annual Settlement With The County

The Sheriff did not publish his annual settlement with the fiscal court. KRS 134.310 Chapter 424 requires the settlement to be published. The report of the settlement shall be subject to objections by the sheriff or by the county attorney, who shall represent the state and county, and the county judge/executive shall determine objections. Objections shall be submitted to the county judge/executive within (15) days of the filing of the settlement in the Clerk's office. If no objections are submitted, the settlement will become final. We recommend the Sheriff publish the annual settlement in the future.

Sheriff's Response:

We will publish this.

INTERNAL CONTROL - REPORTABLE CONDITIONS:

None.

INTERNAL CONTROL - MATERIAL WEAKNESSES:

None.



REPORT ON COMPLIANCE AND ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING BASED ON AN AUDIT OF THE FINANCIAL STATEMENT PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS



Edward B. Hatchett, Jr. Auditor of Public Accounts

Honorable L. C. Reese, Lee County Judge/Executive Honorable James H. Pelfrey, Lee County Sheriff Members of the Lee County Fiscal Court

> Report On Compliance And On Internal Control Over Financial Reporting Based On An Audit Of The Financial Statement Performed In Accordance With Government Auditing Standards

We have audited the Lee County Sheriff's Settlement - 1998 Taxes and Sheriff's Settlement - 1998 Unmined Coal Taxes as of June 9, 1999, and have issued our report thereon dated September 15, 2000. We conducted our audit in accordance with generally accepted auditing standards and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in <u>Government Auditing Standards</u> issued by the Comptroller General of the United States.

Compliance

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement, we performed tests of their compliance with certain provisions of laws and regulations, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit and, accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed instances of noncompliance that are required to be reported under Government Auditing Standards which are described in the accompanying comments and recommendations.

- The Sheriff Should Have Entered Into A Written Agreement To Protect Deposits
- The Sheriff Should Have Published The Sheriff's Annual Settlement With County

Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

In planning and performing our audit, we considered the Lee County Sheriff's internal control over financial reporting in order to determine our auditing procedures for the purpose of expressing our opinion on the financial statement and not to provide assurance on the internal control over financial reporting. Our consideration of the internal control over financial reporting would not necessarily disclose all matters in the internal control over financial reporting that might be material weaknesses. A material weakness is a condition in which the design or operation of one or more of the internal control components does not reduce to a relatively low level the risk that misstatements in amounts that would be material in relation to the financial statement being audited may occur and not be detected within a timely period by employees in the normal course of performing their assigned functions. We noted no matters involving the internal control over financial reporting and its operation that we consider to be material weaknesses.

Honorable L. C. Reese, Lee County Judge/Executive
Honorable James H. Pelfrey, Lee County Sheriff
Members of the Lee County Fiscal Court
Report On Compliance And On Internal Control
Over Financial Reporting Based On An Audit Of The Financial
Statement Performed In Accordance With Government Auditing Standards
(Continued)

This report is intended for the information of management. However, this report, upon release by the Auditor of Public Accounts, is a matter of public record and its distribution is not limited.

Respectfully submitted,

Edward B. Hatchett, Jr. Auditor of Public Accounts

Audit fieldwork completed - September 15, 2000